

Proposal Title :	Reclassify and rezone land at	Eridge Park, Burradoo, Bov	vral	
Proposal Summary :	The proposal is part of a wider development concept, but at this time only involves rezoning Lot 5, DP 1087928, Bowral to permit a senior's housing development on the site. The wider development concept includes a potential land swap between Council and the applicant, the relocation and augmentation of recreational facilities to a site at Burradoo (known as 'Wongabri'), and the upgrade of the existing Mittagong pool facility.			
PP Number	PP_2013_WINGE_004_00	Dop File No :	12/19346-1	
oposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	24-Dec-2012	LGA covered :	Wingecarribee	
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Wingecarribee Shire Council	
State Electorate :	GOULBURN	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
ocation Details				
Street : Eri	dge Park Road			
Suburb : Bu	rradoo City :	Bowral	Postcode : 2576	
Land Parcel : Lot	t 5, DP 1087928			
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Meredith McIntyre			
Contact Number :	0262297912			
Contact Email :	meredith.mcintyre@planning.n	sw.gov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Susan Stannard			
Contact Number :	0248680854			
Contact Email :	susan.stannard@wsc.nsw.gov.	au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Mark Parker			
Contact Number :	0242249468			
Contact Email :	mark.parker@planning.nsw.go			

## Land Release Data

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
-	Sydney-Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Notes :	The original planning proposal di to achieve the land swap on whic Department wrote to Council for I to progress the planning merits o	h the development concept is more detail on this and was a	s predicated. The dvised that Council wanted
Notes :	This is a complex proposal as it is Council-owned Eridge Park (curre Bowral with a vacant parcel of run This will enable the recreational f site, whilst freeing up the Eridge proposal also includes a substan facility.	ently used for active recreation ral land between Bowral and I acilities to be relocated and u Park site for a large-scale age	n) on the southern edge of Moss Vale at 'Wongabri'. Ipgraded at the Wongabri ed care facility. The overall
	This planning proposal only prop Recreation to R2 Low Density Re		
dequacy Assessment			Section of the section of the
Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the obj	ectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The PP outlines the purpose c terms of the three sites.	learly and provides the broad	er context of the proposal in
Explanation of provi	sions provided - s55(2)(b)		
Is an explanation of prov	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	This is clearly provided.		

Justification - s55 (2)(c)	)	
a) Has Council's strategy be	een agreed to by the Dir	ector General? <b>Yes</b>
b) S.117 directions identified	d by RPA :	5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments
* May need the Director Ge	neral's agreement	
Is the Director General's	agreement required? Ye	es
c) Consistent with Standard	Instrument (LEPs) Orde	er 2006 : <b>Yes</b>
d) Which SEPPs have the F	RPA identified?	SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 Drinking Water Catchments Regional Environmental Plan No. 1
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	acknowledge the age However, Council's pl relation to the propos	gy and the Sydney-Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy ng population and the need for developments that address this, anning proposal does not provide any context or discussion in al's contribution to this outcome. The Applicant's planning e some further justification.
Have inconsistencies with it	ems a), b) and d) being	adequately justified? No
If No, explain :	Catchment Authority Direction 5.2 applies)	de a s117 Direction analysis, although notingthat the Sydney comments were attached to the proposal (indicating that s117 . Council's Planning Proposal also didn't acknowledge the Proposal that identified 3 of the Directions listed below that apply
		tial Zones applies and the proposal is not considered inconsistent a available for seniors housing.
	-	ing Land Use and Transport applies and the proposal is not ent as the proposed seniors housing is within the existing urban Burradoo.
	flooding or bushfire)	ided any advice on whether any hazards (such as mine subsidence, are relevant to the site. Therefore we cannot determine if the It with Directions 4.2-4.4.
-	considered inconsist	entation of Regional Strategies applies and the proposal is not ent with the Sydney Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy as the ting 'urban' land for additional housing and avoids the non-urban Vale and Bowral.
		Drinking Water Catchment applies and Council's consultation with uthority has been undertaken and the proposal is considered
	Director General will Density Residential. ( sale of the Eridge Par replacement of the pu considerable comuni General's formal agre finalisation of the Loo	ng Land for Public Purposes applies and the approval of the be required for the rezoning of RE1 Public Recreation to R2 Low Council's proposal and subsequent advice has identified that the k lands (either via a land swap or otherwise), will enable the ublic recreation lands. As this approach may generate ty interest it is considered appropriate to delay the Director eement of the change in zone until the proposal is presented for cal Environmental Plan.
	justification for the pr formal decision abou submitted for finalisa	That the Director General can agree that there is sufficient roposed rezoning of RE1 land to go to exhibition. However, a t the suitability of the rezoning can be made when the proposal is tion after public exhibition and community feedback. t the Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries SEPP

applied and that the proposal is consistent with it, but it didn't provide any discussion about why it applies.

## Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No

Comment :

Council has provided context maps, but it currently doesn't have any GIS resource in-house, so it would need to request maps be prepared by the Department when it lodges it's s59 submission to make the plan. The context maps may be suitable for public exhibition.

## Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : But only in the context of exhibiting the proposal in accordance with the Gateway Determination.

## **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? Yes

If Yes, reasons : That the Department of Local Government be kept informed of the process of the proposal as the longer term outcome involves Council owned land and a potential land swap.

## Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? No

If No, comment : The planning proposal didn't include an adequate s117 Direction assessment, any strategic context, or a completed Project Timeline. However, upon request Council has subsequently provided a timeline that indicates it may take at least 12 (or more) months to complete the proposal. There are many complicating factors relating to this proposal, including that the newly elected Council has not yet considered this matter and may or may not support it proceeding.

It is recommended that delegations not be issued for this proposal given its complexity and that the Council has vested interests in the sale of land and the development of improved community facilities.

## **Proposal Assessment**

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation Wingecarribee LEP 2010 is a standard instrument Principal LEP. to Principal LEP :

## Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	Council has been approached by the applicant to enter into a land swap deal. As a result, Council has decided to proceed with the rezoning and reclassification of the Council-owned Eridge Park land prior to it going out to tender to consider other possible arrangements that may give a similar result.
	It is difficult to determine what part of the entire project needs to happen first. However, Council's choice is to rezone the Eridge Park site to make it available for potential disposal and then proceed with the other requirements and approvals, such as tender for sale or exchange.

Reclassify and rezone	land at Eridge Park, Burrad	oo, Bowral	
	Council cannot sell the land for and reclassifying the land as 'o		evelopment without rezoning it
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The Applicant's submission (dr context and discussion on the Regional Strategy identifies the	proposal. It identifies that th	e Sydney Canberra Corridor
	- Bowral is identified as a Major development and redevelopmen edge of Bowral.]	-	
	- The population of Wingecarrit which equates to a shortfall of applicant, nor Council, has ider development. This makes it dif considerations such as infrastr site as being 12 hectares in size currently proposed to yield aro	3,000 dwellings that needs to ntified the proposed dwelling ficult to understand potentia ucture or traffic. The Applic e. For comparison, a 32 hect	b be provided. [NB. Neither the y yield from the aged care Il impacts on planning ant identified the Eridge Park tare site in Moss Vale is
	- Infill and medium density hou ageing population.	sing is required to be provid	ed to meet the needs of an
	- The separation of the respecti encouraged. [NB. Neither the A requirement of the Regional Str appropriately zone the land to p	pplicant nor the Council has ategy]. The Strategy require	commented on this
	The applicant notes that the pro recreation facility) will not requ Zone permits recreation areas a	ire rezoning as the current E	3 Environmental Management
Environmental social economic impacts :	The Applicant's draft planning   submitted prior to public exhibi stormwater, contamination, ecc These are considered appropria determination.	ition of the proposal, includi pnomic and social sustainab	ng traffic, water and ility, and utilities and services.
Assessment Proces	S		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days

Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)

Timeframe to make

LEP :

1

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No

(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes

12 Month

If no, provide reasons: This part of the wider project is reasonably straightforward (reclassifying and rezoning the site to enable residential development). It is part of an existing urban area that is strategically identified to accommodate urban development.

Delegation :

DG

It is important to note that the proposal relies on the disposal of the Eridge Park lands to justify the acquisition (by land swap or purchase) of replacement recreational facilities. This is likely to be a matter that will illicit strong community views and the Council

should be encouraged to ensure a clear, open and transparent process is used in dealing with the proposal.

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons : Not required

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

If Other, provide reasons

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons : Council has not identified the scale of the proposed development but it is not expected to require State infrastructure as it does not appear to be of sufficient scale and it is considered to be located in an area that is likely to have appropriate capacity to accommodate this scale of proposal.

## Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
Planning Proposal - Eridge Park.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Report 13 Jun12 Eridge Park.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Resolution 13 June 2012 Eridge Park.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Resolution 27 June 2012 Eridge Park.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Consultant JBA Draft Planning Proposal Sep12.pdf	Study	Yes
AJC concept plans Part 1 of 3.pdf	Drawing	Yes
AJC concept plans Part 2 of 3.pdf	Drawing	Yes
AJC concept plans Part 3 of 3.pdf	Drawing	Yes
AJC Info Wongabri Area Comparison.pdf	Drawing	Yes
Hayes flora fauna review Letter - 5th May 2012.pdf	Study	Yes
SCA comment Planning Proposal Eridge Park Nov 2012.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Wongabri Proposed Total Built Area map.pdf	Мар	Yes
Superceded Consultant Study - Bureacracy Busters - May12.pdf	Study	Yes
Sparke Helmore Wingecarribee Advice.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Letter to Council re Div of Local Govt and VPA.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Letter to Council regarding Division of Local Government and VPA.pdf	Proposal	Yes

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:5.2 Sydney Drinking Water CatchmentsAdditional Information :It is recommended that the delegate of the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure<br/>determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act, that an amendment to the Wingecarribee<br/>LEP 2010 to rezone Lot 5, DP 1087928, Burradoo from RE1 Public Recreation to R2 Low<br/>Density Residential and reclassify it, should proceed subject to the following conditions:1. Community consultation is required under sections 56 (2)(c) and 57 of the<br/>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days;<br/>(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public<br/>exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made

publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans (Department of Planning October 2012); and (c) the relevant planning authority comply with the Best Practice Guidelines for LEPs and Council-Owned Land (Jan 1997).

2. In accordance with section 55(2)(d) of the EP&A Act the relevant planning authority must prepare draft LEP maps showing the proposed changes to the Land Zoning Map. However, the Department acknowledges that Council does not have the GIS resources available and the indicative maps provided are considered adequate in this instance.

3. A public hearing is required to be held in relation to reclassification under section 29(1) of the Local Government Act 1993.

4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination.

5. Council not be offered the Minister's plan making delegation under sections 59(2),(3)&(4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 as the unfinalised nature of the development concept requires a third party perspective on when the LEP should be made following consultation.

6. (a) In the absence of any clarification whether s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection applies consultation should be undertaken with the NSW Rural Fire Service to ensure it does not object to the proposal.

(b) The Department of Local Government should be kept informed of the project at all times.

(c) Consultations are not required with any other State Government agencies.

7. Council should ensure that appropriate technical studies (such as traffic, water and stormwater, contamination, servicing and so on) be publicly exhibited with the draft plan.

**SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS - It is recommended that:** 

8. The Director General can be satisfied that the Planning Proposal is consistent with s117 Direction 3.1 Residential Zones, 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport, 5.1 Regional Strategies and 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments.

9. The Director General can agree under Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes that there is sufficient justification for the potential rezoning from RE1 Public Recreation to R2 Low Density Residential to be placed on public exhibition. However, formal agreement will not be given for the zoning change until the planning proposal is returned for finalisation and the rezoning justified.

10. Council will need to satisfy the Director-General that the proposal is consistent with s117 Direction 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land, 4.3 Flood Prone Land, and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection. However, this can occur as part of the finalisation of the planning proposal after exhibition.

11. The Director General can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are of minor significance.

12. No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions other than Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes.

13. The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs.

Supporting Reasons :

The wider project, that includes the elements of this planning proposal, is likely to raise a number of issues within the community and Council's ultimate plan for the resolution of the value and replacement of Eridge Park recreation facilities is still uncertain at this stage. However, to facilitate the potential land swap at some stage, it is reasonable to pursue Council's proposal to rezone and reclassify the subject land now.

	The subject land is a large parcel of urban land within the regionally identified grow centre of Bowral and it would be appropriate for residential or aged care developme
Signature:	Brott Whilesof.
Printed Name:	BRETTWHITWORTH Date: 15 February 201

Regional Director SOUTHERN REGION